




REPORT ON POLAND


Rank: 75

Chart 1 - How Secretive?

36
Secrecy
Score



Poland - Secrecy Score



Poland KFSI-Assessment





Chart 2 - How Big?



Poland accounts for slightly under 0.2 per cent of the global market for offshore financial services, making it a small player compared with other secrecy jurisdictions.

Read more

- Full data
- Poland on TJN Blog
- Full Methodology

© Tax Justice Network 2015 - 9.10.2015

If you have any feedback or comments on this report, please contact us at info@taxjustice.net

Notes and Sources

The ranking is based on a combination of its secrecy score and scale weighting (click [here](#) to see our full methodology).

The secrecy score of 36 per cent for Poland has been computed by assessing its performance on 15 Key Financial Secrecy Indicators (KFSI), listed on the right. Each KFSI is explained in more detail, [here](#).

Green indicates full compliance on the relevant indicator, meaning least secrecy; red indicates non-compliance (most secrecy); and yellow indicates partial compliance.

This paper draws on data sources including regulatory reports, legislation, regulation and news available as of 31.12.2014 (with the exception of KFSI 13 for which the cut-off date is 31.05.2015).

Full data on Poland is available here: <http://www.financialsecrecyindex.com/database/menu.xml>

All background data for all countries can be found on the Financial Secrecy Index website: <http://www.financialsecrecyindex.com>

TRANSPARENCY OF BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP – Poland

- 1 **Banking Secrecy:** Does the jurisdiction have banking secrecy?
Poland partly curtails banking secrecy
- 2 **Trust and Foundations Register:** Is there a public register of trusts/foundations, or are trusts/foundations prevented?
Poland partly discloses or prevents trusts and private foundations
- 3 **Recorded Company Ownership:** Does the relevant authority obtain and keep updated details of the beneficial ownership of companies?
Poland partly maintains company ownership details in official records

KEY ASPECTS OF CORPORATE TRANSPARENCY REGULATION – Poland

- 4 **Public Company Ownership:** Does the relevant authority make details of ownership of companies available on public record online for free, or for less than US\$10/€10?
Poland does not require that company ownership details are publicly available online
- 5 **Public Company Accounts:** Does the relevant authority require that company accounts are made available for inspection by anyone for free, or for less than US\$10/€10?
Poland does not require that company accounts be available on public record
- 6 **Country-by-Country Reporting:** Are all companies required to publish country-by-country financial reports?
Poland partly requires public country-by-country financial reporting by some companies

EFFICIENCY OF TAX AND FINANCIAL REGULATION – Poland

- 7 **Fit for Information Exchange:** Are resident paying agents required to report to the domestic tax administration information on payments to non-residents?
Poland requires resident paying agents to tell the domestic tax authorities about payments to non-residents
- 8 **Efficiency of Tax Administration:** Does the tax administration use taxpayer identifiers for analysing information efficiently, and is there a large taxpayer unit?
Poland uses appropriate tools for efficiently analysing tax related information
- 9 **Avoids Promoting Tax Evasion:** Does the jurisdiction grant unilateral tax credits for foreign tax payments?
Poland avoids promoting tax evasion via a tax credit system
- 10 **Harmful Legal Vehicles:** Does the jurisdiction allow cell companies and trusts with flee clauses?
Poland partly allows harmful legal vehicles

INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS AND COOPERATION – Poland

- 11 **Anti-Money Laundering:** Does the jurisdiction comply with the FATF recommendations?
Poland partly complies with international anti-money laundering standards
- 12 **Automatic Information Exchange:** Does the jurisdiction participate fully in multilateral Automatic Information Exchange via the Common Reporting Standard?
Poland participates fully in Automatic Information Exchange
- 13 **Bilateral Treaties:** Does the jurisdiction have at least 53 bilateral treaties providing for information exchange upon request, or is it part of the European Council/OECD convention?
As of 31 May, 2015, Poland had at least 53 bilateral tax information sharing agreements complying with basic OECD requirements
- 14 **International Transparency Commitments:** Has the jurisdiction ratified the five most relevant international treaties relating to financial transparency?
Poland has ratified the five most relevant international treaties relating to financial transparency
- 15 **International Judicial Cooperation:** Does the jurisdiction cooperate with other states on money laundering and other criminal issues?
Poland partly cooperates with other states on money laundering and other criminal issues